## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

)
)
) C.A. No. 05-165-JJF
) ) ) )
) )
) ) ) ) ) C.A. No. 05-72-JJF
)
)
) ) )
) ) ) )
) C.A. No. 04-1551-JJF
)
)

MBIA INSURANCE CORPORATION and	)	
WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. (f/k/a WELLS	)	
FARGO BANK MINNESOTA N.A.) as	)	
TRUSTEE OF SFC GRANTOR TRUST,	)	
SERIES 2000-1, SFC GRANTOR TRUST,	)	
SERIES 2000-2, SFC GRANTOR TRUST,	)	
SERIES 2000-3, SFC GRANTOR TRUST,	)	C.A. No. 02-1294-JJF
SERIES 2000-4, SFC GRANTOR TRUST,	)	
SERIES 2001-1, SFC GRANTOR TRUST,	)	
SERIES 2001-2, SFC OWNER TRUST 2001-I,	)	
AND SFC GRANTOR TRUST, SERIES 2001-3,	)	
Plaintiffs/Counterclaim Defendants,	)	
v.	)	
ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY,	)	
Defendant/Counterclaim Plaintiff.	)	
	. /	

#### PLAINTIFF ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY'S MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO COMPEL

Plaintiff Royal Indemnity Company ("Royal") hereby submits this memorandum in support of its motion to compel.

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND AND ARGUMENT

On April 13, 2007, prior to the April 30, 2007 fact discovery deadline set forth in Amended Case Management Order #1, Royal noticed the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition of MBIA Insurance Corporation ("MBIA"), a non-party in these actions. That notice and corresponding subpoena required MBIA to designate and produce the requested witness for deposition on April 30, 2007 in New York City. At that time, only one other deposition was scheduled for April 30, 2007.

MBIA never objected to the substance of the notice but, through its counsel, requested that the deposition be pushed into the early part of May due to scheduling difficulties. At about

A copy of the notice and subpoena is attached as exhibit A.

around this time, counsel for all the parties were involved in active discussions and in near-agreement regarding extending, by a week or two, the fact discovery deadline in order to complete depositions in a more orderly manner. Royal agreed to the accommodation requested by MBIA based on the commitment of MBIA to produce a 30(b)(6) witness in early May.

In the meantime, however, the parties were not able to reach agreement on a short extension of the fact discovery period. As a result, counsel for Royal advised counsel for MBIA that the 30(b)(6) deposition needed to proceed as originally noticed on April 30, 2007. Although Royal stood ready and willing to proceed on that date, MBIA refused, claiming that this Court's Discovery Coordination Order allowed for no more than two depositions per day and that the April 30, 2007 schedule, by that point, was fully booked. In so stating, MBIA ignored that its 30(b)(6) deposition was properly the second deposition scheduled for April 30th. MBIA also refused to produce a witness in May, as its counsel had previously committed.

MBIA now seeks to take advantage of Royal's willingness to accommodate MBIA's schedule in order to avoid its deposition altogether. That is neither fair nor appropriate under the circumstances here.

Royal anticipates a short deposition, largely relating to evidentiary foundation and document authenticity issues, which should not take more than half a day.

Accordingly, Royal respectfully requests that this Court relax the fact discovery deadline in order that the MBIA deposition can proceed.

Dated: May 25, 2007

Michael H. Barr Kenneth J. Pfaehler SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1089 (212) 768-6700 (212) 768-6800 (fax)

- and -

John Grossbart
Steve Merouse
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
7800 Sears Tower
233 S. Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 876-8000
(312) 876-7934 (fax)
180956.1

Respectfully submitted,

ASHBY & GEDDES



Lawrence C. Ashby (#468) Philip Trainer, Jr. (#2788) Tiffany L. Geyer (#3950) Andrew D. Cordo (#4534) 222 Delaware Avenue, 17th Floor Wilmington, Delaware 19899 (302) 654-1888 (302) 654 2067 (Fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Royal Indemnity Company

# Exhibit A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY,	)
Plaintiff,	) ) ) С.А. No. 05-165-JJF
vs.	) C.A. 140. 05-105-531
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP, et al.,	)
Defendants.	) ) )

### ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY'S NOTICE OF RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF MBIA INSURANCE CORPORATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rules 45 and 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant and counterclaim plaintiff Royal Indemnity Company will take the oral deposition of MBIA Insurance Corporation on the subjects listed in Exhibit A attached to the subpoena accompanying this notice. The deposition will take place at the offices of Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP, 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020-1089, telephone: (212) 768-6700, beginning at 10:00 A.M. on April 30, 2007. MBIA Insurance Corporation is directed to designate the person or persons to testify on its behalf and the matters on which the person(s) will testify. The deposition shall be recorded stenographically and may be videotaped. The deposition will continue from day to day until completed. All counsel of record are invited to attend and participate.

#### **ASHBY & GEDDES**

/s/ Tiffany Geyer Lydon

Philip Trainer, Jr. (I.D. #2788)
Tiffany Geyer Lydon (I.D. #3950)
500 Delaware Avenue, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor
P.O. Box 1150
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 654-1888
ptrainer@ashby-geddes.com
tlydon@ashbygeddes.com

Attorneys for Royal Indemnity Company

#### Of Counsel:

Michael H. Barr Kenneth J. Pfaehler Robert W. Gifford SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020-1089 (212) 768-6700

John I. Grossbart Steven L. Merouse SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP 8000 Sears Tower 233 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 876-8000

Dated: April 13, 2007

	the .	
UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COU	VRT .
SOUTHERN DISTRICT ROYAL INDEMNITY COMPANY, Plaintiff,	T OF NEW YORK	N A CIVIL CASE
V.		
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP, et al., Defendants.	Case Number: 1	C.A. No. 05-165-JJF pending before the United States District Court for the District of Delaware
FO: MBIA INSURANCE CORPORATION 113 King Street Armonk, NY 10504		
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear in the United States I testify in the above case.	District court at the pla	ice, date, and time specified below to
PLACE OF TESTIMONY		COURTROOM
		DATE AND TIME
YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the place, date, and in the above case.	I time specified below t	to testify at the taking of a deposition
PLACE OF DEPOSITION Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas, NY 10020-1089		DATE AND TIME April 30, 2007, 10:00 a.m.
YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection place, date, and time specified below (list documents or object)	on and copying of the f jects):	following documents or objects at the
PLACE		DATE AND TIME
☐ YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit inspection of the fol	llowing premises at the	e date and time specified below.
PREMISES		DATE AND TIME
Any organization not a party to this suit that is subpoenaed for the directors, or managing agents, or other persons who consent to testify matters on which the person will testify. Federal Rules of Civil Processing	y on its behalf, and may s	shall designate one or more officers, set forth, for each person designated, th
		NT) DATE

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com

Case 1:04-cv-01551-JJF Document 252 Filed 05/25/2007 Page 9 of 12

\*z AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil Case

(See Rule 45, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), on next page)

<sup>1</sup> If action is pending in district other than district of issuance, state district under case number.

AO88 (Rev. 12/06) Subpoena in a Civil	Case				
PROOF OF SERVICE					
	DATE	PLACE			
SERVED					
SERVED ON (PRINT NAME)		MANNER OF SERVICE			
SERVED BY (PRINT NAME)		TITLE			
	DECL	LARATION OF SERVER			
I declare under penalty of in the Proof of Service is true	perjury under the laws e and correct.	of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained			
Executed on					
<del></del>	DATE	SIGNATURE OF SERVER			
		ADDRESS OF SERVER			

Rule 45. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Subdivisions (c), (d), and (e), as amended on December 1, 2006:

(C) PROTECTION OF PERSONS SUBJECT TO SUBPOENAS

(1) A party or an attorney responsible for the issuance and service of a subpoena shall take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to that subpoena. The court on behalf of which the subpoena was issued shall enforce this duty and impose upon the party or attorney in breach of this duty an appropriate sanction, which may include, but is not limited to, lost earnings and a reasonable attorney's fee.

(2) (A) A person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of designated electronically stored information, books, papers, documents or tangible things, or inspection of premises need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless commanded to appear for deposition, hearing or trial.

- (B) Subject to paragraph (d)(2) of this rule, a person commanded to produce and permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling may, within 14 days after service of the subpoena or before the time specified for compliance if such time is less than 14 days after service, serve upon the party or attorney designated in the subpoena written objection to producing any or all of the designated materials or inspection of the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. If objection is made, the party serving the subpoena shall not be entitled to inspect, copy, test, or sample the materials or inspect the premises except pursuant to an order of the court by which the subpoena was issued. If objection has been made, the party serving the subpoena may, upon notice to the person commanded to produce, move at any time for an order to compel the production, inspection, copying, testing, or sampling. Such an order to compel shall protect any person who is not a party or an officer of a party from significant expense resulting from the inspection, copying, testing, or sampling commanded.
- (3) (A) On timely motion, the court by which a subpoena was issued shall quash or modify the subpoena if it
  - (i) farls to allow reasonable time for compliance;
- (ii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to travel to a place more than 100 miles from the place where that person resides, is employed or regularly transacts business in person, except that, subject to the provisions of clause (c)(3)(B)(iii) of this rule, such a person may in order to attend trial be commanded to travel from any such place within the state in which the trial is held.
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter and no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
  - (B) If a subpoena
- (i) requires disclosure of a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information, or
- (ii) requires disclosure of an unretained expert's opinion or information not describing specific events or occurrences in dispute and resulting from the expert's study made not at the request of any party, or
- (iii) requires a person who is not a party or an officer of a party to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial, the court may, to protect a person subject

to or affected by the subpoena, quash or modify the subpoena or, if the party in whose behalf the subpoena is issued shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship and assures that the person to whom the subpoena is addressed will be reasonably compensated, the court may order appearance or production only upon specified conditions.

(D) DUTIES IN RESPONDING TO SUBPOENA.

- (1) (A) A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents shall produce them as they are kept in the usual course of business or shall organize and label them to correspond with the categories in the demand.
- (B) If a subpoena does not specify the form or forms for producing electronically stored information, a person responding to a subpoena must produce the information in a form or forms in which the person ordinarily maintains it or in a form or forms that are reasonably usable
- (C) A person responding to a subpoena need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- (D) A person responding to a subpoena need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or to quash, the person from whom discovery is sought must show that the information sought is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.
- (2) (A) When information subject to a subpocna is withheld on a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation materials, the claim shall be made expressly and shall be supported by a description of the nature of the documents, communications, or things not produced that is sufficient to enable the demanding party to contest the claim.
- (B) If information is produced in response to a subpoena that is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notified, aparty must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has and may not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved. A receiving party may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. If the receiving party disclosed the information before being notified, it must take reasonable steps to retrieve it. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) CONTEMPT. Failure of any person without adequate excuse to obey a subpoena served upon that person may be deemed a contempt of the court from which the isubpoena issued. An adequate cause for failure to obey exists when a subpoena purports to require a nonparty to attend or produce at a place not within the limits provided by clause (ii) of subparagraph (c)(3)(A).

#### EXHIBIT A

#### **DEPOSITION SUBJECTS**

- 1. MBIA's due diligence pertaining to SFC.
- 2. All investigation, analysis, assessment, audits or appraisal by MBIA of SFC's business practices and business model.
- 3. All assessment, review, analysis or investigation by MBIA after March 20, 2002 pertaining to SFC including, without limitation, the document produced at MBIA2\_0001075 MBIA2\_0001079, and any similar document or draft thereof.
  - 4. Any communications pertaining to the subjects listed herein.

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- A. The terms "and" and "or" shall be construed either disjunctively or conjunctively so as to bring within the scope of this subpoena all information which might otherwise be construed to be outside its scope.
- B. Unless otherwise specified this subpoena shall be limited to the period beginning June 1, 1998, through to the present.
  - C. The singular form of any noun or pronoun includes the plural, and vice versa.
- D. The masculine form of any noun or pronoun includes the feminine, and vice versa. The masculine or feminine form of any noun or pronoun includes the neuter and vice versa.
  - E. Verbs in present, past, future or any other tense include all tenses.

#### **DEFINITIONS**

The following terms and definitions shall apply to this subpoena:

1. The terms "MBIA" and "You" shall mean MBIA Insurance Corporation, and its current or former officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives, and attorneys, each

person acting on its behalf or under its control, and any parent, subsidiary, predecessor or affiliated corporation.

- 2. The term "pertaining to" shall mean analyzing, addressing, concerning, consisting of, regarding, referring to, refuting, discussing, describing, evidencing, constituting, compromising, containing, setting forth, showing, disclosing, explaining, involving, summarizing, memorializing, mentioning, reflecting, commenting on, having to do with, relating to, or otherwise having any logical or factual connection with the subject matter. All the terms included in the definition of "pertaining to" and any of their derivations are themselves used synonymously with "pertaining to" when used in this subpoena.
- 3. "SFC" shall mean Student Finance Corporation, Student Marketing Services, LLC ("SMS"), Student Loan Servicing, LLC ("SLS"), SFC Financial I, LLC, SFC Financial II, LLC, SFC Acceptance I, SFC Acceptance II, SFC Acceptance III, SFC Acceptance IV, SFC Acceptance V, SFC Acceptance VI, SFC Acceptance VII, SFC Acceptance VIII, SFC Acceptance VIII, SFC Acceptance IX, and their principals, owners, officers, directors, partners, stockholders, shareholders, associates, employees, staff members, agents, representatives, attorneys, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, successors, and predecessors individually or in any combination.